

**BOARD OF FIRE COMMISSIONERS
TRUCKEE MEADOWS FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICT**

TUESDAY

11:00 a.m.

JUNE 28, 2011

PRESENT:

John Breternitz, Chairman
Bonnie Weber, Vice Chairperson
Bob Larkin, Commissioner
Kitty Jung, Commissioner
David Humke, Commissioner

Amy Harvey, County Clerk
Katy Simon, County Manager
Paul Lipparelli, Legal Counsel
Michael Hernandez, Fire Chief

The Board convened at 11:20 a.m. in regular session in the Commission Chambers of the Washoe County Administration Complex, 1001 East Ninth Street, Reno, Nevada, and conducted the following business:

Commissioner Larkin extended appreciation to Fire Chief Michael Hernandez for his diligence in attempting to move fire services forward and in reaching a satisfactory position.

11-59F AGENDA ITEM 2

Agenda Subject: “Public Comment. Comment heard under this item will be limited to two minutes per person and may pertain to matters both on and off the Commission agenda. The District will also hear public comment during individual action items, with comment limited to two minutes per person. Comments are to be made to the District as a whole.”

Kim Toulouse spoke on the Interlocal Agreement for Fire Services and encouraged the Board to be mindful of regionalization. He said the decision made today could affect the future of the Truckee Meadows Fire Protection District (TMFPD), the Reno Fire Department (RFD) and the Sierra Fire Protection District (SFPD). Mr. Toulouse remarked that future efforts needed to be focused in an effort to regionalize all fire departments in Washoe County. He suggested a dedicated tax structure and a freely elected board to manage any regional department.

Betty Hicks urged the Board to vote “no” toward the renewal of the Interlocal Agreement in regard to Fire Services.

11-60F AGENDA ITEM 3A

Agenda Subject: “Acceptance of volunteer/auxiliary report for April 2011 (Including monthly operations report of Volunteer Fire Departments indication response data, training activities/apparatus updates, administrative, radio communication and dispatch issues etc.)”

There was no public comment on this item.

On motion by Commissioner Larkin, seconded by Commissioner Humke, which motion duly carried, it was ordered that Agenda Item 3A be approved.

11-61F AGENDA ITEM 3B

Agenda Subject: “Approval of the BOFC meeting minutes from April 12, 2011 and May 16, 2011.”

There was no public comment on this item.

On motion by Commissioner Larkin, seconded by Commissioner Humke, which motion duly carried, it was ordered that Agenda Item 3B be approved.

11-62F AGENDA ITEM 4

Agenda Subject: “Fire Chiefs Report – Report and discussion related to Fire District operations by Reno/Truckee Meadows Chief Michael Hernandez.

Reno/Truckee Meadows Chief Michael Hernandez reviewed the Incident Response and Fire Station brown out statistics as noted in the staff report. During the month of May the Truckee Meadows Fire Protection District (TMFPD) responded to 728 calls including: structure fires; wildland fires; medical calls; rescues; hazmat calls; and, activated fire alarms. He indicated that fire crews responded to four significant structure fires including one structure fire where the victim was deceased and an investigation initiated. Due to the severity of the conditions in the Truckee River, Chief Hernandez stated that there had been many river rescues. He said crews responded to assist in the operation involving the recent accident of an Amtrak train and were on scene approximately six hours.

Commissioner Humke asked for confirmation on the county where the Amtrak accident occurred. Chief Hernandez replied that Pershing County had initiated the call.

There was no action taken or public comment on this item.

11-63F AGENDA ITEM 5

Agenda Subject: “Review, discussion and possible direction related to the Board requested second party analysis of the District’s budget and financial projections.”

Consistent with Board direction, Kurt Latipow, Fire Services Coordinator, indicated that staff had contracted with Dave Silva of Schettler, Macy and Silva, LLC to conduct a second opinion review of the Truckee Meadows Fire Protection District (TMFPD) financials for the Fiscal Year 2011/12 budget. The *Independent Accountants’ Report on Agreed-Upon Procedures, June 30, 2011 through June 30, 2015*, was presented and placed on file with the Clerk. Mr. Latipow stated that the report verified the financial condition of the District as presented by the District’s financial consultant. It also identified that if the District continued on the current path, as far as contractual agreements, the fund balance would continue to diminish, which was consistent with the financial consultant’s projection.

Commissioner Jung questioned the need for a second party review of the District’s financials. Mr. Latipow replied it was a continuance of the business practice to confirm that the District’s business was being conducted in a proper and legal manner. Commissioner Jung stated this was to edify the public and to either confirm the financial analysis or that the analysis was incomplete and/or inconclusive; however, it was found that the financial information was verified and accurate.

There was no response to the call for public comment.

Commissioner Larkin said historically the District had been above in their operating reserves and asked for an opinion as to why that occurred. Mary Walker, District Financial Consultant, explained in Fiscal Year 2011/12 it had been established that the above operating reserves would be 25 percent of expenditures and other uses, which was important because Workers’ Compensation costs were not expenses of the General Fund, but came from the Workers’ Compensation Fund. The other uses took the Workers’ Compensation expenses for the year and transferred that cost over to the Workers’ Compensation Fund. Ms. Walker indicated when those were merged for Fiscal Year 2011/12 the District achieved 27 percent of expenditures and other uses. Because of the revenue decline in the last several years, and in the event of potential actions by the Board, measures were started to reduce the capital projects and retain a higher level of fund balance.

Historically, Commissioner Larkin said this Board had been fiscally conservative and asked if this report substantiated that fiscal conservative nature. Ms. Walker stated that was correct. Commissioner Larkin asked if there was a four-month operating window. Ms. Walker clarified there was about a three-month operating window. Commissioner Larkin stated if the contract continued with the City of Reno, the fund would drop perilously low between 2013/14 and below the Government Fiscal Officers Association (GFOA) target. Ms. Walker stated that was also correct. She noted

that the GFOA recommended a minimum of two months and for each entity to base their fund balance threshold upon their own needs in regard to their cash flow and their risks.

In response to an inquiry from Commissioner Jung, Ms. Walker explained that GFOA provided for the practical application on how to apply the general accepting accounting procedures. Commissioner Jung said because more was held over than what was needed per policy, she wondered how that affected the District during the past few years. Ms. Walker replied by being conservative with those fund balances, every dime was not being spent, which allowed for reserves in the event of a catastrophic situation. She said the fund balance would provide the financial security and the cash flow ability to continue services in order to plan and to make adjustments in the expenditures.

On motion by Commissioner Larkin, seconded by Commissioner Humke, which motion duly carried, it was ordered that Agenda Item 5 be accepted.

11-64F AGENDA ITEM 6

Agenda Subject: “Discussion and action on renewal modification or termination of the Interlocal Agreement for Fire Services and Consolidation dated July 1, 2004, as amended, between the District and the City of Reno.”

Kurt Latipow, Fire Services Coordinator, commented that over the past 12 months staff from the Truckee Meadows Fire Protection District (TMFPD), the County and the City of Reno had diligently worked to bring conclusion and recommendations to the Board for the future of the Interlocal Agreement. During the Board’s May 24, 2011 meeting action was directed to staff as follows:

1. Arrange for a second opinion of the TMFPD financials for the FY 2011/12 budget to be completed in time for presentation at the June 14, 2011 meeting;
2. Request that RENO IAFF Local 731 review the staffing levels and consider three-man staffing flexibility;
3. Discuss liability issues with Risk Managers and insurance representatives, with the results to be brought back to the Board for resolution;
4. Continue working between the staffs possible agreements on the remaining provisions of the Interlocal Agreement that had yet to receive a consensus recommendation; and,
5. Prepare a cost comparison of what the expenses would be to terminate the Interlocal Agreement and reconstitute the District.

Mr. Latipow acknowledged that the second opinion of a review of the TMFPD financials for Fiscal Year 2011/12 had been completed and presented to the Board. He said the request that RENO IAFF 731 review the flexibility of three-man staffing levels had been transmitted to Reno Fire Chief Michael Hernandez and was addressed in a letter from the City of Reno dated June 17, 2011. On June 2, 2011 staff met with Risk Managers from the City and the County along with legal counsel and insurance representatives; however, a consensus was not achieved. The City’s response

from the June 8, 2011 meeting was contained within a letter from the City Manager dated June 17, 2011 and contained within the staff report.

Mr. Latipow confirmed that staff continued to work with City staff in an attempt to gain consensus on the remaining provisions of the Interlocal Agreement. He said that the County Manager had received communications regarding the City's position on the outstanding articles. While the proposal from the City represented compromise for many of the outstanding articles and provided an offer of enhanced interaction between the Board and the City Council relating to policy level issues and items of mutual interest, there appeared to be no compromise on the level of staffing. He said the City was now proposing significant changes to Article 11, which included the elimination of any future annexation credits effective retroactively to January 1, 2011, the sunset of existing credits in areas served by the City and a "fair and equitable approach" to funding employees terminated from City employment. Mr. Latipow said the combination of maintaining four person staffing and the proposed changes to Article 11 would have substantial financial impacts on the District, would accelerate the draw down of the fund balance and potentially lead to destabilization.

Mr. Latipow said in preparing a cost comparison of what the expenses would be to terminate the Interlocal Agreement and reconstitute the District, staff and the financial consultant developed an analysis of alternatives which ranged from continuing the Interlocal Agreement with the City of Reno to reconstitute the District as a stand alone agency. As referenced in Attachment No. 2 within the staff report, the following four alternatives represented the results of the cost benefit analysis:

- **Alternative 1: Reno/TMFPD New Interlocal Alternative with current four person Engine Staffing.** This Alternative was based on the proposal received from the City of Reno and assumed that the TMFPD would retain its current staffing levels of four persons per engine, per shift, for five fire stations under the newly negotiated Interlocal Agreement, which provided for the New Actual Cost Methodology. It also included the loss of the Annexation Credit for new annexations, which occurred after January 1, 2011, and the potential phase-out of those Annexation Credits that occurred prior to January 1, 2011. This alternative was not financially feasible.
- **Alternative 2: TMFPD Stand alone Current 4 Person Engine Staffing.** This Alternative would disengage from the Interlocal Agreement and that the District would retain its current staffing levels of four person staffing per engine, per shift, for five stations. It also assumed regional parity for the employees except for potential former District staff returning to the District who would be retained at the City of Reno pay rate. He said this alternative restored full control of the District back to the Board; however, was not financially feasible.
- **Alternative 3: TMFPD Stand alone 3 Person Engine Staffing.** This alternative assumed the District would modify its current four person engine company staffing, per shift, for five fire stations. It also assumed regional parity for the employees except for potential former District staffing returning to the District from Reno who would be retained at the Reno pay rate per the Interlocal

Agreement. This alternative had complete control of the District returning to the Board and the flexibility to staff the TMFPD Stations as needed with three-man minimums. This Alternative was financially feasible and provided stability.

- **Alternative 4: Reno/TMFPD Existing Interlocal Agreement with One Year Extension and One Year Transition Term.** This alternative assumed the District would remain in the existing Reno/TMFPD Interlocal Agreement with a one-year extension to June 30, 2012 and a one-year transition timeframe to effect the final termination to June 30, 2013. This alternative was not financially feasible.

Based on the cost benefit analysis and projections provided by the District's financial consultant, Mr. Latipow said the only Alternative that would maintain the District in a financially stable condition was Alternative 3, in which the TMFPD would withdraw from the current Interlocal Agreement, return full control of the District to the Board and negotiate three-person staffing with a bargaining group. Referenced in the staff report were financial spreadsheets from the cost benefit analysis for Alternatives 3 and 4 that demonstrated changes needed to be made in order to bring fiscal stability to the District.

Mr. Latipow reviewed the fiscal impacts that identified some additional costs that would incur over the next 12 months. He clarified that the approved budget did account for the payment to the City of Reno under the existing contract. The first year start-up costs including payroll, legal costs, equipment and personnel to effect the transition were estimated not to exceed \$700,000. He said that amount would be paid from the Capital Projects Fund and the General Fund Contingency Account. He said it was recognized that the decision whether or not to renew the Interlocal Agreement with the City of Reno was a policy decision. Based on the economic reality and the economic review, it was recommended that Alternative 3 be considered and that the Board also consider serving Notice of Termination to the City of Reno and direct staff to return to the Board, no later than August 9, 2011, with a strategic plan for Alternative 3 based on the cost benefit analysis. Referenced in the staff report were the following key points for the strategic plan:

- Objectives describing major activities to be accomplished;
- Detailed task list for each objective;
- Timelines for the accomplishment of objectives, identification of interdependencies between objectives and/or tasks, identification of the party(s) responsibility for the accomplishment of objectives and tasks, review of financial data provided by the financial consultant; and,
- Other critical considerations necessary to complete the transition and enable the District to assume all operational and administrative functions of the District no later than July 12, 2012.

In response to the call for public comment, Jeffrey Church urged the Board to say "no" to the Reno Fire Department and to consider the other alternatives.

Tim O'Brien, Reno Fire Department (RFD) Administrators Association representative, said he was part of the TMFPD prior to consolidation with the RFD and was currently a Division Chief with the RFD. He had many concerns in regard to the staff report and the recommendations. Recently, the Board accepted a Standard of Cover that contained response criteria and, based on that criteria and the proposed staffing plan, he believed it would be impossible to assemble an effective firefighter response to the accepted standard. Mr. O'Brien commented that there would be too few people responding from too far away. He said the proposed staffing plan called for three-person stations and, as a former TMFPD firefighter, the TMFPD stations prior to consolidation had four firefighters on duty in each station, every day. He recommended that staff read the entire TMFPD contract language regarding staffing, not just the portion that supported their position. Mr. O'Brien noted that the words: assume, assumption and assuming were used 47 times in the staff report. He asked what was "Plan B" when those assumptions did not work. He indicated there was a system in place that had worked for 11 years, which was not an assumption. The Reno Fire Department Administrators Association did not recommend discontinuing the Interlocal Agreement, and he urged the Board not to jeopardize public safety with a plan that contained so many assumptions. Finally, Mr. O'Brien said he had reservations about the validity of a staff report that had been written without the input of the current Fire Chief, who was the expert on fire service and delivery and should have been consulted.

Elizabeth Howe felt that the only fiscally responsible action was to go with Alternative 3. She said this Alternative would require the termination of the current Interlocal Agreement between the TMFPD and the City of Reno.

Bob Rusk suggested the Board follow staff's recommendation and hoped the Board would move forward in that regard.

Robert Parker confirmed his support for Alternative 3 which he felt was the right direction for the Board to pursue. He thanked the Board for their service and the time and effort placed on these discussions.

Cliff Low agreed that the Board needed to issue the "Notice of Termination" to the City of Reno concerning the Interlocal Agreement.

Kim Toulouse voiced his concern regarding the termination of the contract and the impact that would have on the volunteers. He stated that the volunteers were not available 24 hours a day. He encouraged the Board to insert language in the contract to reconsider three-man companies; however, when the economy rebounded, return to a four-man company.

Commissioner Humke said he had received written comments from concerned citizens. Chairman Breternitz ordered those comments be placed on file with the Clerk.

Commissioner Larkin indicated that the recommendation did not preclude the County from making the revised Interlocal Agreement a blueprint for future regionalization. Mr. Latipow confirmed that was not precluded and added that past Board direction was to be mindful of creating a template that would work for the future.

Chairman Breternitz remarked that part of the recommendation was to return to the Board, no later than August 9, 2011, with a strategic plan based on Alternative 3; however, there may be some variations or interpretation to the language which would present options that the Board would have to decide on for further refining. The emphasis today was not changing or executing the termination, but based on the factors the Board needed to look for the future.

Commissioner Jung said Alternative 3 noted there would be approximately \$600,000 to \$700,000 in start-up costs to re-establish the District. She asked if that was included within the ending fund balance as projected for each year. Mary Walker, District Financial Consultant, explained those funds would come from a contingency account and from capital monies, not the fund balance.

In choosing Alternative 3, Commissioner Jung asked if the District would be able to meet the criteria set in the recently accepted Standard of Cover. Mr. Latipow said those performance objectives would not be immediately met in certain outlying areas. Commissioner Jung asked if those performance standards would be met more or less with Alternative 3. Depending on the reconstruction of the automatic aid agreements, Mr. Latipow indicated there was the potential for the full effective response force objective to be met on a less frequent occasion.

Commissioner Jung said that comments made during public comment, as well as e-mails and phone calls received, mentioned that Chief Hernandez was not consulted for the staff report and asked if that was correct. Chief Hernandez replied he was not consulted for the staff report. Commissioner Jung asked if that was policy. Mr. Latipow indicated that was not the direction he was given. Commissioner Jung asked Chief Hernandez for his professional opinion regarding what the termination of the Interlocal Agreement would do to the citizens of the City of Reno, the Sierra Fire Protection District (SFPD) and the TMFPD. Chief Hernandez replied there was an effective system in place that delivered quality resources in a timely manner to a needed area; however, he fully recognized that the region was in an economic downturn and that there were certain costs related to the Interlocal Agreement. He had hoped that both governmental entities could reach a consensus whereas the Interlocal Agreement could be maintained. In his opinion, Chief Hernandez felt to separate the Interlocal Agreement would have some negative consequences, such as renegotiating Automatic Aid Agreements, reviewing the existing boundaries, and, as the Reno Fire Chief, his priorities would shift from the citizens of the Truckee Meadows and the region to a concentrated focus on the citizens of the City of Reno. If the separation occurred, he said this would be a challenging time for both entities and, at times, could become contentious due to assets that would need to be divided and policy level decisions that would need to be made.

Commissioner Humke asked how long the County had been engaged in the efforts and discussions concerning the Interlocal Agreement. Mr. Latipow replied staff had been in discussions for over two years. Commissioner Humke asked if there would be any changes within the dispatch operations beginning July 2011. Mr. Latipow explained as the work continued with the subcommittee for communications, he anticipated there would be a change in the way dispatch was administered. In the year beginning July 1, 2011, Commissioner Humke asked if there would be any changes with the Automatic Aid and Mutual Aid Agreements. Mr. Latipow explained the way that the contract was written it was business as usual including the monthly payments and the cost of doing business as appropriate with the thresholds in the existing agreement. The strategic plan would include the renegotiation of numerous agreements because presently those agreements were partnered between the TMFPD and the City of Reno.

Commissioner Weber requested Alternative 3 be read for the public. Mr. Latipow read the following: “this Alternative assumed the District would modify its current four person engine company staffing to allow the ability to down staff to a three person engine company staffing, per shift, for five fire stations. It also assumed regional parity for the employees except for potential former District staffing returning to the District from Reno who would be retained at the Reno pay rate per the Interlocal Agreement. This Alternative was financially feasible.”

Commissioner Weber said it had been stated that financially the County could not continue down the same path. Mr. Latipow confirmed that to be true, but pointed in the short-term, Alternative 1 was within the financial means; however, when Alternative 1 was finished the District would be in peril of becoming an unstable district. He said Alternative 3 would require a Notice of Termination and spending the next 12 months in a divorce process. Commissioner Weber asked for an explanation of that process. Mr. Latipow explained should the Board take action to issue notice and begin the unwinding process, the next step would be for staff to present a comprehensive plan including milestones, benchmarks, and involvement. He said that plan would be sensitive to having a sustainable outline that was an “out of the box” approach for the future business of providing service. He said there could be items within that strategic plan that would provide outreach to outlying areas and counties for possible agreements for coverage and response. Mr. Latipow said if the Board took action to serve notice to the City there would be a very busy 12 months ahead, which would include agreements for separation of property and hiring the necessary staff and, on July 1, 2012, the District would need to be prepared to begin delivering service. He said there could be elements of building a platform for future regionalization; however, there was only 12 months to accomplish a great deal of work to ensure continuance of service. Commissioner Weber emphasized that the goal needed to be regionalization.

Commissioner Larkin said based on the letter received from the Reno City Manager regarding a one-year extension becoming a two-year extension and information that the City was interested in using that two-year timeframe to discuss regional organization, he asked why should the Board be interested in that extension since the City and the Firefighters Union had ratified four-person crews. He said the Board would not

take a position that would spend the remaining balances. Chief Hernandez replied because a significant amount of time had been invested in the negotiation process and a consensus reached on a majority of the articles the intent was to retain the continuation of services to the community, work on regionalization, and adopt the “fair and equitable” method of costing out services. Commissioner Larkin did not see any advantage to that since the basic cost-driver were crews and the District could not afford a four-man crew. He remarked that sometimes things needed to be broken apart in order to be reformatted.

If the Interlocal Agreement was terminated, Chairman Breternitz asked if that would prevent or harm the opportunity to bring sustainable fire protection services to the TMFPD. Mr. Latipow replied there had to be financial stability in order for the TMFPD to sustain long enough to see regionalization. He did not believe any harm would be done in regard to regionalization, but there could be the potential to harm the relationship with the City of Reno. Chief Hernandez disagreed and felt that the relationship with the City would not be compromised.

Chairman Breternitz said key elements of the financial projections depended on a three-man crew and asked if the District was capable of moving to a three-man crew with either a modification or an update of the original TMFPD agreement. Blaine Cartlidge, Legal Counsel, stated that the TMFPD old union contract defined a three-man crew with a minimum staffing per apparatus. There was also the issue involving staffing a water tender for remote areas when a water supply was not readily available. He said the old TMFPD union contract spelled out certain criteria, which may be returned to, or may be a subject to negotiation, but did set a minimum threshold.

Chairman Breternitz reiterated that the entire decision was based on Alternative 3 and the title of that Alternative was “stand alone three-person engine staffing.”

Commissioner Larkin moved to prepare a Notice of Termination of the Interlocal Agreement for signature by the Chair and transmission to the City of Reno under provisions of Termination Article 2.5 of the current Interlocal Agreement on or before June 30, 2011. Commissioner Humke seconded the motion.

Commissioner Jung appreciated all the work completed by staff; however, she still had questions and would not be supporting the motion. She said it was incumbent upon the Board to be fiscally responsible, but ultimately the public’s safety must be provided for and had hoped that a consensus could have been reached by the two entities. She felt the current financial situation had diminished the importance of the Interlocal Agreement. Commissioner Jung stated that the Interlocal Agreement was designed as a first step in regionalization for the Truckee Meadows and was saddened by the eminent demise and going against the voters who voted in favor of consolidation of all services in the region.

Commissioner Larkin commented that the previous Interlocal Agreement had saved the County approximately \$13 million over the past 10 years and gave kudos

to the City of Reno for maintaining the average cost over those past 10 years. However, 10 years ago the Agreement started on the wrong foot and placed the County in a significantly disadvantaged position of not being represented at the negotiation table.

Commissioner Humke commended the RFD command staff, Mr. Latipow and Ms. Walker for the professional manner in which they engaged this process.

Commissioner Weber stated she would support the motion and noted that it had been a different Board of County Commissioners that agreed to the Interlocal Agreement. She said this was not an easy decision, but one that needed to be made.

On call for the question the motion passed with a 4 to 1 vote with Commissioner Jung voting “no.”

Commissioner Larkin moved to direct Mr. Latipow to return to the Board, no later than August 9, 2011, with a strategic plan based upon Alternative 3 and the cost benefit analysis. He said the plan should include, but not be limited to:

- Objectives describing major activities to be accomplished;
- Detailed task list for each objective;
- Timelines for the accomplishment of objectives, identification of interdependencies between objectives and/or tasks, identification of the party(s) responsibility for the accomplishment of objectives and tasks, review of financial data provided by the financial consultant; and,
- Other critical considerations necessary to complete the transition and enable the District to assume all operational and administrative functions of the District no later than July 12, 2012.

Commissioner Larkin commented that in the process of starting up a new fire agency, staff not digress from the regionalization dialogue and to begin formatting a structure for regionalization. He said the designed template with the revised Interlocal Agreement needed to be part of the packet with the invitation to those entities interested in regionalization between now and August 9, 2011 and to make themselves known and present for further discussion.

Paul Lipparelli, Legal Counsel, explained that Agenda Item 6 of the TMFPD agenda was discussion and action on renewal, modification or termination of the Interlocal Agreement for Fire Services and consolidation dated July 1, 2004, as amended, between the District and the City of Reno. He said the first motion was good; however, matters addressed in the proposed second motion should be direction to staff. He was uncomfortable with the Board voting on the second motion because it was not contemplated by action related to termination of a contract.

Commissioner Larkin stated he would make the above proposed motion staff direction. Commissioner Weber suggested that the proper documentation be delivered to the City of Reno as requested and to ensure that all the information was included and that the Board was notified of the delivery.

11-65F AGENDA ITEM 7

Agenda Subject: “Authorize the Finance Director, as the Acting Risk Manager, to renew the Property and Liability Insurance Policy for the Truckee Meadows Fire Protection District with the Nevada Public Agency Insurance Pool, effective July 1, 2011, for an Annual Premium of \$136,600.16.”

Kurt Latipow, Fire Services Coordinator, said that staff was recommending authorization for the renewal of the property and liability insurance policy for the Truckee Meadows Fire Protection District (TMFPD) with the Nevada Public Agency Insurance Pool for one year beginning July 1, 2011.

There was no public comment on this item.

On motion by Commissioner Weber, seconded by Commissioner Jung, which motion duly carried, it was ordered that Agenda Item 7 be authorized.

11-66F AGENDA ITEM 8

Agenda Subject: “Discussion and possible approval of a transfer of budget appropriations of the Truckee Meadows Fire Protection District’s Pre-Funded Retiree Health Benefits Fund to the General Fund and authorize the Finance Director to transfer retiree health benefit assets to the Washoe County, Nevada OPEB Trust Fund for investment in the Retiree Benefits Investment Fund in Fiscal Year 2010-2011.”

There was no public comment on this item.

On motion by Commissioner Humke, seconded by Commissioner Larkin, which motion duly carried, it was ordered that Agenda Item 8 be approved and authorized.

11-67F AGENDA ITEM 9

Agenda Subject: “Commissioners’/Manager’s Announcements, requests for information and identification of topics for future agendas. (No discussion among Commissioners or action will take place on this item.)”

Commissioner Weber thanked the Board members and the members of the Reno City Council who sat on the Joint Fire Advisory Board (JFAB) for all their hard work and time that they spent on the issues.

* * * * *

ADJOURNMENT

1:00 p.m. There being no further business to come before the Board, on motion by Commissioner Larkin, seconded by Commissioner Weber, which motion duly carried, the meeting was adjourned.

JOHN BRETERNITZ, Chairman
Truckee Meadows Fire
Protection District

ATTEST:

AMY HARVEY, Washoe County Clerk
and Ex-Officio Clerk, Truckee Meadows
Fire Protection District

*Minutes Prepared By:
Stacy Gonzales, Deputy County Clerk*